Motions related to ACL Section of A15

Consensus statement: AP does not support any of the YT AMs alternatives. More individually based allocation of YT would be more preferable.

Motion 1: Gutowski/Maxwell

Identify Alternative 4 as the least offensive of the ones in the document (individual maximum of DAS and percent of IFQ that can be used in a stock area). Supports consideration of trading DAS and IFQ by area. Support exploring future actions to allocate YT to individuals, sectors, or changing the opening date of June 15 to something earlier that would reduce YT catch.

Vote: 1:3:6, motion failed

Consensus statement:

Do not support Alternative #1 (option A) or Alternative 4, but some sort of hybrid that is more individually based to support better fishing practices is something that we support. Option 1A is good because it is in-season and would not close an entire stock area. Endorse that vessels should be able to trade area specific DAS/IFQ if an individual maximum is implemented.

By consensus – support Option 1 for gen cat management uncertainty buffer – 0%

Motions related to permit stacking and leasing alternatives

Motion 2: Enoksen/Hughes:

In favor of stacking and leasing for this fishery in the future, recommend both be identified as preferred over No Action.

Vote: 2:3:5, motion fails

By consensus: If stacking is approved Option 1 for FPA is preferred (any vessel can stack with adjustments).

Motion 3: Hughes/Enoksen

Range should be 7-11% as PDT recommended.

Vote: 3:4 Chair votes to break tie, motion fails

Motion 4: Enoksen/Hughes

Identify the option to allow a vessel to de-stack as preferred.

Vote: 5:1:4, motion carries

Motion 5: Larsen/Fletcher

Identify the alternative to allow leasing from CPH as preferred.

Vote: 5:2:2, motion carries

Motions related to General Category measures

Motion 6: Marchetti/Fletcher

Include a new alternative that would allow IFQ carryover of up to 100%.

Withdrawn

By consensus, support the highest IFQ rollover acceptable that will not increase the management uncertainty buffer for the general category fleet.

Motion 7: Larsen/Fletcher

Identify the no action alternative for gen cat possession limit as the preferred alternative (maintain 400 pound possession limit).

Vote: 7:3:1, motion carries

Motion 8: Gutowski/Maxwell

AP supports consideration of a CFA, but table it for a future amendment.

Vote: 7:4, motion carries

Framework 21 Issues

By consensus:

Suggest the committee consider allowing LAGC vessels to lease their quota in smaller units than their full allocation (minimum size for a unit would be 800 pounds) in FW21.

Other Business

Motion 9: Larsen/Fletcher

Recommend that NMFS set observer set-aside at 200 pounds in access areas so that compensation lasts longer for access areas.

Vote: 9:1:0, motion passes